

CYPS Scrutiny Panel: 8 March 2018

# ILACS: Inspections of Local Authority Children's Services

#### Introduction



☐ What is ILACS?
☐ Inspection ongoing Children's wider context
☐ Annual SEF on SW quality & practice: impact of
leaders
□ Annual Conversation
□ ILACS pathways
■ What are Ofsted looking for?
☐ Focused visits - ILACS inspection
☐ ILACS view of leadership
☐ Inspection evaluation criteria
☐ Any Questions?

#### What is ILACS?



- ☐ January 2018 on for *most* inspections "a system not a framework" ☐ effectiveness of LA services and arrangements for children in need of help and protection in local authorities in England ☐ plus effectiveness of leaders and managers & impact on the lives of CYP and quality of SW practice ☐ 5 elements:
  - Annual SEF of SW: quality and practice leaders 'grip'
  - Annual conversation meeting including social care engagement plus wider education and early years
  - Ofsted LAIS (data and performance info system)
  - Inspection (3 yearly) 6 inspectors: short (1 week) OR standard (2 week): impact of leaders
  - Focused visits 2 inspectors (inspection) likely 2, OR JTAI replaces 1 "catching LAs before they fall"
  - Inadequate LAs existing monitoring regime & SIF

NOTE: Annex A documents and data lists remain significant.

#### Inspection ongoing context



#### Ongoing children's related inspections:

- **SEND**
- Children's Centres
- Early Years providers
- Schools and Colleges including Alternative Education Provision
- Children's Homes including respite care
- ❖ Youth Offending Service: YJB & SQS (system under review Jan 2018)
- ❖JTAI of partnership area 10 p.a. Topic: intra-familial CSE from Jan 2018
- .... and Partners

#### Annual SEF on SW practice



- □ request to share with Ofsted
   □ 3 questions to evidence CSC leaders 'have a gri
- □ 3 questions to evidence CSC leaders 'have a grip' and taking suitable action:
  - What do you know about the quality and impact of SW practice in your LA?
  - How do you know it? [expected mostly from case audits]
  - ➤ What are your plans for the next 12 months to maintain and improve practice?
- ☐ set out main themes and learning
- ☐ succinct, focused and evaluative
- ☐ some possible document sources suggested
- ☐ where LA identifies weakness <u>providing</u> Ofsted finds a credible, appropriate action plan, it will be seen as a leadership strength

#### Annual Conversation (1-2 meetings)



Agenda and date agreed with DCS: Ofsted chairs

- ✓ review of SEF
- ✓ actions from previous inspections
- ✓ reflect on what is happening in LA current financial, political and professional practice context
- ✓ broader issues affecting CSC delivery e.g. schools and Early Years provision
- ✓ possible scope of future focused visit
- ✓ Approx timing of following year's SEF & annual conversation
- ✓ inform how Ofsted & LA will engage in the future.

DCS decides who is present, each with a 'clear purpose'

Outcome: Letter to DCS: factual summary of discussion; possible focus of a future focused visit; any steps agreed by Ofsted or LA.

#### **ILACS** pathways



Outcome: Good or outstanding remain in pathway 1 Ecoused visit or JTAT Short inspection <sup>2</sup>athway 1 (Standard Inspection If we d / outstanding local (Some LAs may have short Requires Improvemen authorities. inspection first) have concerns). move to pathway 2 In adequate move to pathway 3 Outcome: Good or outstanding move to pathway 1 Pathway 2 Focused visits or JTAI Standard inspection Requires improveme suires improvement (Some LAs may have ical aumorities remain in pathway Z standard inspection first) Inadequate move to pathway 3 Outcome: Good or outstanding move to pathway 1 Pathway 3 Quarterly monitoring Re-inspection Requires improvemen Inadequate local visits authorities. move to pathway 2 I nadequate remain in pathway 3.

### What are Ofsted looking for?



Specified data and documents; initial Key Lines of Enquiry [kloe's]; case based, SW frontline focus; meetings only at Lead Inspector's request; observations; daily KITs.

<ul> <li>Experiences and progress of children who need help and protection (possible individual limiting factor?)</li> <li>Experiences and progress of children in care and care leavers (possible individual limiting factor?)</li> <li>Impact of leaders on SW practice with children and families (NOT necessarily limited by above)</li> </ul>	□Overall effectiveness (determined by other 3 judgements)
<ul> <li>□ Experiences and progress of children in care and care leavers (possible individual limiting factor?)</li> <li>□ Impact of leaders on SW practice with children and</li> </ul>	☐ Experiences and progress of children who need
leavers (possible individual limiting factor?)  Impact of leaders on SW practice with children and	help and protection (possible individual limiting factor?)
☐ Impact of leaders on SW practice with children and	☐ Experiences and progress of children in care and care
·	leavers (possible individual limiting factor?)
families (NOT necessarily limited by above)	☐ Impact of leaders on SW practice with children and
	families (NOT necessarily limited by above)

**Inspection Outcomes**: 4 judgement ratings (unchanged)

NOTE: If **Inadequate** after fieldwork, inspectors move LA to monitoring mode (Pathway 3)

### Focused visit(s) ILACS inspections



- focus on one or more specific aspects of service,
   theme or cohort of CYP, always with leadership
- Notification week: Ofsted select focus subset(s) of inspection breadth; DCS notified of focus. Conference call. 1 day to share focus subset of child-level Annex A data <u>and</u> LA audit lists in previous 6 mths <u>and</u> share focus subset of Annex A docs;
- 2 days more info about specific cases [6-12 audited cases selected]; Agree timetable.
- Week 1 onsite: 2 inspectors, Tues & Wed, KLOEs, case and practice focus, with Wed pm feedback; focus subsets – 6 headings listed
- Findings letter: narrative of strengths and areas for development; 'unequivocal' if priority actions; informs planning of future inspections

### ILACS: 'leadership'



"...principally through the lens of the impact of leaders on practice with children and families."

All inspections including focused visits evaluate the effectiveness of:

- Performance management
- Management oversight
- Supervision
- Quality assurance
- Continuous professional development of the workforce

#### Inspection evaluation criteria



# Experiences and progress of children who need help and protection:

- 1. Early help
- 2. Identifying and responding to children's needs & appropriate thresholds
- 3. Making good decisions and providing effective help
- 4. Management oversight of frontline practice
- 5. Participation and direct work with children & families
- 6. Identifying and responding to all types of abuse recognising the vulnerability of specific groups

**NOTE:** Indicative grade descriptors for good provided.

### **Experiences and progress of children in care and care leavers:**

- 7. Making good decisions for children
- 8. Participation and direct work with children in care and care leavers
- 9. Helping and protecting
- 10. Health
- 11. Learning and enjoyment
- 12. Stability and permanence
- 13. Care leavers and transitions

# Impact of leaders on SW practice with children and families

- 14. Strategic leadership
- 15. Learning organisation culture
- 16. Performance management
- 17. Workforce

#### Inspection evaluation criteria 2



#### Both short and standard inspections include

2 days onsite by the social care regulatory inspector

Evaluating the effectiveness of the recruitment, assessment, training and support for foster and adoptive carers against criteria that cover arrangements to secure timely permanence for all children:

evaluate experiences of up to 4 <u>each</u> of foster care and adopter households; speak to foster carers and adopters, staff and managers who support (usually by phone); foster and adoption panel chairs

If part of Regional Adoption Agency - LA responsibility to demonstrate statutory compliance [Haringey's NCL]

1 day offsite and 1 day onsite by the schools HMI

# Evaluating the educational progress of children in care and care leavers:

analyse data and information, including on EHE & CME (presumed to include excluded and alternative provision)

interview VHT onsite (possibly having phoned as well) evaluate some case studies of specific CYP may phone schools for further info



## Any Questions?